

II ERNST & YOUNG

Quality In Everything We Do

IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures



Introduction

This publication provides an overview of IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* (IFRS 7 or the Standard) in addition to discussing the main differences compared to the existing disclosure requirements for financial instruments. A comprehensive comparison between IFRS 7 and existing disclosure requirements is presented as an Appendix to this publication.

IFRS 7 incorporates the disclosures relating to financial instruments contained in IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation*¹ and replaces IAS 30 *Disclosures in the Financial Statements of Banks and Similar Financial Institutions*, so that all financial instruments disclosures are located in a single Standard for all types of entities. The disclosure requirements contained in IFRS 7 are less prescriptive than those in IAS 30 for banks and there are no longer any bank-specific disclosure requirements.

All the disclosures required by IFRS 7, except for the risk disclosures, must be part of the financial statements with minimum disclosure requirements subject to the materiality requirements of IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements*. The qualitative and quantitative risk disclosures required by IFRS 7 may be provided in the financial statements or incorporated by reference from the financial statements to another statement (eg, the management commentary or a risk report).

IFRS 7 introduces:

- requirements for enhanced balance sheet and income statement disclosure 'by category' (eg, whether the instrument is available-for-sale or held-to-maturity)
- information about any provisions against impaired assets
- additional disclosure relating to the fair value of collateral and other credit enhancements used to manage credit risk
- market risk sensitivity analyses.

¹ Including amendments issued in 2005 for *The Fair Value Option* and *Financial Guarantee Contracts*.

Scope

IFRS 7 applies to all risks arising from all financial instruments, including those instruments that are not recognised on-balance sheet. Consistent with IAS 30 and IAS 32, there is no scope exemption for subsidiaries or, as yet, for small- and medium-sized entities, but the IASB has agreed to consider this issue in its project on financial reporting for small- and medium-sized entities. The application to subsidiaries may present a challenge to entities that are members of a consolidated group as they often manage risk on a consolidated basis. Furthermore, the requirement to provide the disclosure for each entity may provide limited value to users of financial statements (compared to the cost of compilation) when the information is already disclosed at the group level.

IFRS 7 disclosures must be presented based on the accounting policies used for the financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS, including consolidation adjustments. It is possible that the internal information made available to management for risk management purposes is not prepared using such accounting policies, in which case it will need to be amended. A good example is when hedging transactions are economically effective but do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Balance Sheet

IFRS 7, as with IAS 32, does not prescribe the location of the required balance sheet disclosures. An entity is permitted to present the required disclosures either on the face of the balance sheet or in the notes to the financial statements. When the Standard requires disclosure by class of financial instrument, the entity shall group instruments in classes that are appropriate to the nature of the information disclosed and the characteristics of the instruments. IFRS 7 requires additional detail in the disclosures for each category of financial instruments such as financial assets held at fair value through profit or loss or available-for-sale. In contrast, IAS 32 only requires separate disclosure of financial instruments carried at fair value through profit or loss, although the level of detail required by IFRS 7 is not as prescriptive as the requirements of IAS 30. The required core balance sheet disclosures for each category of financial assets

and financial liabilities in IFRS 7 are similar to those in IAS 32 and include the carrying amount and related fair value, along with the amount and reason for any reclassifications between categories.

Balance sheet disclosures include the following:

Loans and receivables at fair value through profit or loss

IFRS 7 contains the disclosure requirements for loans and receivables at fair value through profit or loss introduced in IAS 32 as a result of the IAS 39 fair value option amendment. These include the maximum credit exposure, the impact of credit derivatives on the credit exposure, and the change in the fair value of the loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) and any related credit derivatives due to changes in credit risk, both during the period and cumulatively since designation.

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

IFRS 7 includes the requirement in IAS 32 to disclose the change in the fair value of a financial liability due to credit risk, that was introduced as part of the amendment to IAS 39 for the fair value option. IFRS 7 also requires disclosure of the method used to determine the change in fair value due to credit risk. Entities are required to use the methodology described in IFRS 7, unless they can demonstrate that an alternative method is a better approximation.

Other sundry balance sheet disclosures:

- Derecognition: certain information is required to be disclosed when all or part of transferred financial assets do not qualify for derecognition, or when there is 'continuing involvement'
- Collateral given: disclosure is required of the carrying amount in addition to the terms and conditions of financial assets pledged as collateral
- Collateral received: an entity must disclose
 the fair value and terms and conditions of
 assets received as collateral which the
 entity has right to sell or repledge in the
 absence of default

- Allowance for credit losses: IFRS 7 requires disclosure of a reconciliation of the allowance for credit losses for all financial assets, whereas IAS 30 requires a similar disclosure only for loans and advances
- Compound financial instruments with multiple embedded derivatives: disclosure must be made of the existence of multiple embedded derivatives whose values are interdependent (eg, callable convertible debt)
- *Defaults and breaches*: disclosure is required of the details and carrying amounts of liabilities that are in default.

Income statement

Similar to the minimum balance sheet disclosures, an entity is permitted to present the required income statement disclosures on either the face of the income statement or in the notes to the financial statements. The income statement disclosures required by IFRS 7 are more prescriptive than those required by IAS 32, although not as detailed as the requirements of IAS 30. For example, IAS 32 only requires separate disclosure of the net gains or net losses of financial instruments carried at fair value through profit or loss, whereas IFRS 7 requires the disclosure of this information for all categories of financial assets and financial liabilities.

IAS 32 disclosures retained in IFRS 7 include:

- total interest income and total interest expense from financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured at fair value through profit or loss
- available-for-sale gains or losses recognised in equity, in addition to those amounts reclassified from equity to profit or loss
- interest accrued on impaired financial assets.

Disclosure requirements introduced by IFRS 7:

- net gains or losses for each category of financial asset or financial liability
- impairment losses for each category of financial asset
- fee income and expense (other than amounts included in the determination of the effective interest rate) for financial assets and financial liabilities not measured at fair value through profit or loss
- fee income and expense from trust and other fiduciary activities.

Other disclosures

Accounting policies

IAS 1 already requires disclosure of an entity's significant accounting policies but IFRS 7 prescribes specific disclosure of certain policies relating to financial instruments. The Application Guidance to IFRS 7 provides more specific guidelines for disclosure of accounting policies than currently required by IAS 32. It introduces disclosure of the criteria for (1) designating financial assets and financial liabilities as at fair value through profit or loss, (2) designating financial assets as available-forsale, and (3) the use of an allowance account (ie, bad debt reserve), including the criteria for writing off amounts charged to such an account.

Hedge accounting

The following table summarises the hedge accounting disclosures required by IFRS 7. IFRS 7 expands on the requirements of IAS 32 in that the gain or loss on a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge that is transferred from equity to profit or loss must be analysed by income statement caption. Additionally, IFRS 7 introduces the disclosure of the amount of ineffectiveness recognised in profit or loss for cash flow hedges and hedges of net investments in foreign operations, and the gain or loss on the hedging instrument and hedged item attributable to hedged risk for fair value hedges.

Disclosure	Fair value hedges	Cash flow hedges	Net investment hedges
Description of hedged risk and hedging instrument with related fair values	X	X	X
When hedged cash flows are expected to occur		X	
Forecast transactions no longer expected to occur		X	
Gain or loss recognised in equity and reclassifications to P&L		X	
Gain or loss from hedging instrument and hedged risk	X		
Ineffectiveness recognised in P&L		X	X

Fair value

IFRS 7 retains the IAS 32 disclosures relating to the methods and significant assumptions used to determine fair value for different classes of financial assets and financial liabilities.

Required disclosures include:

- whether the fair value is based on quoted prices or valuation techniques
- whether the fair value is based on a valuation technique that includes assumptions not supported by market prices or rates, and the amount of profit recognised
- the effect of reasonably possible alternative assumptions used in a valuation technique.

Although 'whether' could, arguably, be answered with a qualitative analysis, it is presumed that this will require a quantitative analysis of the value of instruments that fall into the various categories.

IAS 32 currently requires disclosure of the nature and carrying amount of financial instruments whose fair value cannot be reliably measured, including an explanation of why this is the case. IFRS 7 expands the IAS 32 requirement to include how the entity intends to dispose of such financial instruments.

'Day 1' profit or loss

IAS 39 does not permit profits or losses to be recorded when a financial instrument is initially recognised (a 'Day 1' profit or loss), unless the fair value of the instrument is based on a valuation technique whose variables include only data from observable markets. IFRS 7 requires disclosure of any Day 1 profit or loss not recognised in the financial statements, together with the change in the amount previously deferred, plus the entity's policy for determining when amounts deferred are recognised in profit or loss.

Oualitative risk disclosures

IFRS 7 retains the qualitative disclosures required by IAS 32 relating to risks (ie, credit risk, liquidity risk, and market risk) to which an entity is exposed, including a discussion of management's objectives and policies for managing such risks. IFRS 7 expands these to

include information on the processes that an entity uses to manage and measure its risks.

Ouantitative risk disclosures

IFRS 7 expands on the quantitative disclosures contained in IAS 32, which are intended to provide information about the extent to which an entity is exposed to risks based on the information available to key management personnel, in addition to an overview of financial instruments used by the entity. IFRS 7 requires disclosure of all risk concentrations to which an entity is exposed, based on shared characteristics (eg, location, currency, economic conditions, and type of counterparts). Additionally, IFRS 7 requires a description of how management determines such concentrations.

Credit risk

For each class of financial instrument, IFRS 7 requires disclosure of the maximum credit exposure, net of any impairment losses, before consideration of collateral or other credit enhancements received (eg, netting agreements), plus a description of collateral and other credit enhancements available. IFRS 7 considers the maximum credit exposure for loans and receivables granted and deposits placed to be the carrying amount and for derivatives to be the current fair value.

New credit risk disclosures in IFRS 7 include:

- information relating to the credit quality of financial assets that are neither past due nor impaired (eg, a rating analysis)
- a description and fair value of collateral available to the entity as security and other credit enhancements
- collateral of which the entity has been taken control.

The disclosure of financial assets that are past due but not impaired may present an operational issue for many entities. Overdue information may not be readily available or it may not be captured by an entity's credit system until such time that it becomes past due by a critical period of time.

Liquidity risk

IAS 30 currently requires banks to disclose contractual maturity information about both financial assets and financial liabilities. IFRS 7 is less prescriptive and eliminates the requirement to disclose contractual maturities of financial assets. Financial liabilities must be disclosed by contractual maturity, based on undiscounted cash flows, which may or may not agree with the internal information made available to management. One of the difficulties in preparing a maturity analysis is the treatment of derivatives, which normally involve a series of cash flows. The guidance in IFRS 7 states that net amounts should be included in the analysis for pay float/receive fixed interest rate swaps for each contractual maturity category when only a net cash flow will be exchanged. Hence, a currency swap would need to be included in the maturity analysis based on gross cash flows.

The Application Guidance of IFRS 7 suggests time frames that may be used in preparing the contractual maturity analysis for liabilities.

IFRS 7 expands the disclosure of liquidity risk to include a description of how liquidity risks are managed.

Market risk

IFRS 7 requires the disclosure of a market risk sensitivity analysis which includes the effect of 'a reasonably possible change' in risk variables in existence at balance sheet date if applied to all risks in existence at that date, along with the methods and assumptions used in preparing the analysis. Market risk is defined as "the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instruments will fluctuate because of changes in market prices and includes interest rate risk, foreign currency risk and other price risk" (eg, equity and commodity risk).

The Application Guidance of IFRS 7 provides some guidance on what is 'a reasonably possible change' and includes:

 consideration of the economic environment in which the entity operates—remote or 'worst-case' scenarios or 'stress tests' are not included

- the entity should consider what changes are reasonably possible over the next reporting period
- the entity need not re-assess what is a reasonably possible change in risk variables if the rate of change of the underlying risk variable is stable.

Essentially, entities should disclose similar sensitivities to those that would be used for internal risk management purposes. For entities outside of the financial services industry, such information relating to market risk may not be readily available and compliance with the required disclosures may present a challenge.

IFRS 7 does not prescribe the format in which a sensitivity analysis should be presented, although exposures to risks from significantly different economic environments should not be combined. For example, an entity that trades financial instruments might disclose separately sensitivity information for financial instruments held for trading and those not held for trading.

IFRS 7 requires disclosure of the assumptions and methods, together with the objective of the methods used in preparing the sensitivity analysis. Additionally, the reasons for any changes from the previous period in the assumptions and methods used in performing the sensitivity analysis must be disclosed

Effective date and transition to IFRS 7

The transition paragraphs of IFRS 7 encompass three different transition periods:

- accounting periods beginning before
 1 January 2006
- accounting periods beginning on or after
 1 January 2006, and before 1 January 2007
- accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007.

The application date of the Standard is for annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007, but, as seems to be the trend nowadays on newly issued Standards, the IASB encourages

early application by offering users certain exemptions as an incentive.

An explanation of the transition rules is included in the Implementation Guidance of IFRS 7. There are different transition rules depending on whether the entity is an existing user of IFRS or a first-time adopter:

Existing IFRS users:

Full comparative information must be provided unless IFRS 7 is adopted for periods beginning before 1 January 2006, in which case the entity is exempt from providing comparative risk disclosures.

First-time adoption of IFRS:

Full comparative information must be provided unless IFRS 7 is adopted for periods beginning before 1 January 2006, in which case the entity is exempt from providing comparative information for both the IFRS accounting disclosures and the risk disclosures.

IAS 1 amendment

Simultaneously with the publication of IFRS 7, the IASB issued an amendment to IAS 1. The amendment covers capital disclosures which were originally proposed to be included in IFRS 7. Similar to IFRS 7, the amendment applies to all entities that produce financial statements in accordance with IFRS and is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007.

The amendment requires the following disclosures:

- what an entity regards as its capital and qualitative information on the entity's objectives, policies, and processes for managing it
- summary quantitative information about the capital the entity manages
- whether an entity has complied with any externally-imposed capital requirements and information on the policies and process for managing external capital requirements.

Appendix

Comparison of IFRS 7 with IAS 32 and IAS 30

The following appendix contains a comprehensive comparison of the disclosure requirements in IFRS 7 to the current requirements of IAS 32 and IAS 30. The comparison is in a tabular format, presenting IFRS 7 in sequential order commencing at paragraph 1. The equivalent paragraph of IAS 32 or IAS 30 is shown in the second column (where appropriate), and the third column includes comments on the comparison, where applicable. Not all of the text of IAS 32 or IAS 30 has been included. The main differences between the Standards have been summarised in this part of the publication.

Comparison of IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures in the Financial Statements of Banks and Similar Financial Institutions with IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation and IAS 30 Disclosures in the Financial Statements of Banks and Similar Institutions

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Objective		
IFRS 7.1(a)	IAS 32.51	
The objective of this IFRS is to require entities to provide disclosures in their financial statements that enable users to evaluate the significance of financial instruments for the entity's financial position and performance.	The purpose of the disclosures required by this Standard is to provide information to enhance understanding of the significance of financial instruments to an entity's financial position, performance and cash flows, and assist in assessing the amounts, timing and certainty of future cash flows associated with those instruments.	Similar disclosure objectives.
IFRS 7.1(b)	IAS 32.52	
The objective of this IFRS is to require entities to provide disclosures in their financial statements that enable users to evaluate the nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments to which the entity is exposed during the period and at the reporting date, and how the entity manages those risks.	Transactions in financial instruments may result in an entity assuming or transferring to another party one or more of the financial risks described below. The required disclosures provide information to assist users of financial statements in assessing the extent of risk related to financial instruments.	Similar definitions.
Appendix A	(a) Market risk includes three types of risk:	
Defined terms	(i) currency risk – the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in	
Credit risk - The risk that one party to a financial instrument	foreign exchange rates.	
will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation.	(ii) fair value interest rate risk – the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of	
Currency risk – The risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in foreign exchange rates.	changes in market interest rates. (iii) price risk – the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate as a result of changes in	
Interest rate risk - The risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates.	market prices, whether those changes are caused by factors specific to the individual instrument or its issuer or factors affecting all instruments traded	
Liquidity risk – The risk that an entity will encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities.	in the market. Market risk embodies not only the potential for loss but also the potential for gain.	
Market risk – The risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk comprises three types	(b) Credit risk – the risk that one party to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation and cause the other party to incur a financial loss.	
of risk: currency risk, interest rate risk and other price risk.	(c) Liquidity risk (also referred to as funding risk) – the risk	
Other price risk – The risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices (other than those arising from interest rate risk or currency risk), whether those changes	that an entity will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments. Liquidity risk may result from an inability to sell a financial asset quickly at close to its fair value.	
are caused by factors specific to the individual financial instrument or its issuer, or factors affecting all similar financial instruments traded in the market.	(d) Cash flow interest rate risk – the risk that the future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. In the case of a floating rate debt instrument, for example, such fluctuations result in a change in the effective interest rate of the financial instrument, usually without a corresponding change in its fair value.	

IFRS	7	IAS	32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	7.2	IAS	32.3	
recog finan	orinciples in this IFRS complement the principles for gnising, measuring and presenting financial assets and cial liabilities in IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation AS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.	rec liab	e principles in this Standard complement the principles for ognising and measuring financial assets and financial illities in IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and asurement.	
Scop	е			
IFRS	7.3	IAS	32.4	
	FRS shall be applied by all entities to all types of cial instruments, except:		s Standard shall be applied by all entities to all types of ancial instruments except:	Similar scope requirements.
(a)	those interests in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures that are accounted for in accordance with IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, IAS 28 Investments in Associates or IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures. However, in some cases, IAS 27, IAS 28 or IAS 31 permits an entity to account for an interest in a subsidiary, associate or joint venture using IAS 39; in those cases, entities shall apply the disclosure requirements in IAS 27, IAS 28 or IAS 31 in addition to those in this IFRS. Entities shall also apply this IFRS to all derivatives linked to interests in subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures unless the derivative meets the definition of an equity instrument in IAS 32.	(a)	those interests in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures that are accounted for under IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, IAS 28 Investments in Associates or IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures. However, entities shall apply this Standard to an interest in a subsidiary, associate or joint venture that according to IAS 27, IAS 28 or IAS 31 is accounted for under IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. In these cases, entities shall apply the disclosure requirements in IAS 27, IAS 28 and IAS 31 in addition to those in this Standard. Entities shall also apply this Standard to all derivatives on interests in subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures.	
(b)	employers' rights and obligations arising from employee benefit plans, to which IAS 19 Employee Benefits applies.	(b)	benefit plans, to which IAS 19 Employee Benefits applies.	
(c)	contracts for contingent consideration in a business combination (see IFRS 3 Business Combinations). This exemption applies only to the acquirer.		combination (see IFRS 3 Business Combinations). This exemption applies only to the acquirer.	
(d)	insurance contracts as defined in IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts. However, this IFRS applies to derivatives that are embedded in insurance contracts if IAS 39 requires the entity to account for them separately. Moreover, an issuer shall apply this IFRS to financial guarantee contracts if the issuer applies IAS 39 in recognising and measuring the contracts, but shall apply IFRS 4 if the issuer elects, in accordance with paragraph 4(d) of IFRS 4, to apply IFRS 4 in recognising and measuring	(d)	Contracts. However, this Standard applies to derivatives that are embedded in insurance contracts if IAS 39 requires the entity to account for them separately. Moreover, an issuer shall apply this Standard to financial guarantee contracts if the issuer applies IAS 39 in recognising and measuring the contracts, but shall apply IFRS 4 if the issuer elects, in accordance with paragraph 4(d) of IFRS 4, to apply IFRS 4 in recognising and measuring them. ¹	
(e)	them. ¹ financial instruments, contracts and obligations under share-based payment transactions to which IFRS 2 Share-based Payment applies, except that this IFRS applies to contracts within the scope of paragraphs 5-7 of IAS 39.	(e)	financial instruments that are within the scope of IFRS 4 because they contain a discretionary participation feature. The issuer of these instruments is exempt from applying to these features paragraphs 15-32 and AG25-AG35 of this Standard regarding the distinction between financial liabilities and equity instruments. However, these instruments are subject to all other requirements of this Standard. Furthermore, this Standard applies to derivatives that are embedded in these instruments (see IAS 39).	

8

 $^{^{1}}$ Revised IAS 32 scope exclusion based on the IAS 39 amendment: Financial Guarantee Contracts.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
	(f) financial instruments, contracts and obligations under share-based payment transactions to which IFRS 2 Share-based Payment applies, except for (i) contracts within the scope of paragraphs 8-10 of	
	this Standard, to which this Standard applies,	
	(ii) paragraphs 33 and 34 of this Standard, which shall be applied to treasury shares purchased, sold, issued, or cancelled in connection with employee share option plans, employee share purchase plans, and all other share-based payment arrangements.	
IFRS 7.4	IAS 32.5	
This IFRS applies to recognised and unrecognised financial instruments. Recognised financial instruments include financial assets and financial liabilities that are within the scope of IAS 39. Unrecognised financial instruments include some financial instruments that, although outside the scope of IAS 39, are within the scope of this IFRS (such as some loan commitments).	This Standard applies to recognised and unrecognised financial instruments. Recognised financial instruments include equity instruments issued by the entity and financial assets and financial liabilities that are within the scope of IAS 39. Unrecognised financial instruments include some financial instruments that, although outside the scope of IAS 39, are within the scope of this Standard (such as some loan commitments).	Similar scope requirements.
IFRS 7.5	IAS 32.8	
This IFRS applies to contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item that are within the scope of IAS 39 (see paragraphs 5-7 of IAS 39).	This Standard shall be applied to those contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item that can be settled net in cash or another financial instrument, or by exchanging financial instruments, as if the contracts were financial instruments, with the exception of contracts that were entered into and continue to be held for the purpose of the receipt or delivery of a non-financial item in accordance with the entity's expected purchase, sale or usage requirements,	Similar scope requirements.
Classes of financial instruments and level of disclosure		
IFRS 7.6	IAS 32.55	
When this IFRS requires disclosures by class of financial instrument, an entity shall group financial instruments into classes that are appropriate to the nature of the information disclosed and that take into account the characteristics of those financial instruments. An entity shall provide sufficient information to permit reconciliation to the line items presented in the balance sheet.	The management of an entity groups financial instruments into classes that are appropriate to the nature of the information disclosed, taking into account matters such as the characteristics of the instruments and the measurement basis that has been applied. In general, classes distinguish items measured at cost or amortised cost from items measured at fair value.	Similar disclosure required.
Application guidance	Sufficient information is provided to permit a reconciliation to relevant line items on the balance sheet. When an entity is	
Classes of financial instruments and level of disclosure	a party to financial instruments not within the scope of this	
IFRS 7 B1	Standard, those instruments constitute a class or classes of financial assets or financial liabilities separate from those	
Paragraph 6 requires an entity to group financial instruments into classes that are appropriate to the nature of the information disclosed and that take into account the characteristics of those financial instruments. The classes described in paragraph 6 are determined by the entity and are, thus, distinct from the categories of financial instruments specified in IAS 39 (which determine how financial instruments are measured and where changes in fair value are recognised).	within the scope of this Standard. Disclosures about those financial instruments are dealt with by other IFRSs.	

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS 7 B2 In determining classes of financial instrument, an entity shall, at a minimum: (a) distinguish instruments measured at amortised cost from those measured at fair value. (b) treat as a separate class or classes those financial instruments outside the scope of this IFRS.		
IFRS 7 B3 An entity decides, in the light of its circumstances, how much detail it provides to satisfy the requirements of this IFRS, how much emphasis it places on different aspects of the requirements and how it aggregates information to display the overall picture without combining information with different characteristics. It is necessary to strike a balance between overburdening financial statements with excessive detail that may not assist users of financial statements and obscuring important information as a result of too much aggregation. For example, an entity shall not obscure important information by including it among a large amount of insignificant detail. Similarly, an entity shall not disclose information that is so aggregated that it obscures important differences between individual transactions or associated risks.	IAS 32.54 Determining the level of detail to be disclosed about particular financial instruments requires the exercise of judgement taking into account the relative significance of those instruments. It is necessary to strike a balance between overburdening financial statements with excessive detail that may not assist users of financial statements and obscuring important information as a result of too much aggregation. For example, when an entity is party to a large number of financial instruments with similar characteristics and no single contract is individually material, a summary by classes of instruments is appropriate. On the other hand, information about an individual instrument may be important when it is, for example, a material component of an entity's capital structure.	Similar disclosure required.
Format IFRS 7.8 (see infra) and IFRS 7.20 (see infra) permit disclosure of the required information either in the notes or on the face of the balance sheet or of the income statement.	IAS 32.53 This Standard does not prescribe either the format of the information required to be disclosed or its location within the financial statements. To the extent that the required information is presented on the face of the financial statements, it is unnecessary to repeat it in the notes. Disclosures may include a combination of narrative descriptions and quantified data, as appropriate to the nature of the instruments and their relative significance to the entity.	Both Standards permit entities to present the disclosure requirements either in the notes or on the face of the balance sheet or income statement.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Significance of financial instruments for financial position and performance		
IFRS 7.7	IAS 32.51	
An entity shall disclose information that enables users of its financial statements to evaluate the significance of financial instruments for its financial position and performance.	The purpose of the disclosures required by this Standard is to provide information to enhance understanding of the significance of financial instruments to an entity's financial position, performance and cash flows, and assist in assessing the amounts, timing and certainty of future cash flows associated with those instruments.	Similar disclosure required.
	Terms and conditions	
	IAS 32.60	
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	For each class of financial asset, financial liability and equity instrument, and entity shall disclose:	IFRS 7 does not specifically require disclosures on terms
	(a) information about the extent and nature of the financial instruments, including significant terms and conditions that may affect the amount, timing and certainty of future cash flows.	and conditions of financial instruments. However, when significant transactions have been
	IAS 32.62	concluded, IFRS 7 requires that they be disclosed
	The contractual terms and conditions of a financial instrument affect the amount, timing and certainty of future cash receipts and payments by the parties to the instrument. When financial instruments are significant, either individually	according to the general principle contained in IFRS 7.7.
	or as a class, to the financial position of an entity or its future operating results, their terms and conditions are disclosed. If no single instrument is individually significant to the future cash flows of the entity, the essential characteristics of the instruments are described by	
	reference to appropriate groupings of like instruments.	
Balance sheet		
Catagories of financial assets and financial liabilities IFRS 7.8	IAS 32.94(e) ²	
The carrying amounts of each of the following categories, as	An entity shall disclose the carrying amounts of:	IFRS 7 requires additional
defined in IAS 39, shall be disclosed either on the face of	(i) financial assets that are classified as held for trading;	level of detail for disclosures
the balance sheet or in the notes:	(ii) financial liabilities that are classified as held for	of categories of financial instruments.
(a) financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, showing separately (i) those designated as such upon initial recognition and (ii) those classified as held for	trading; (iii) financial assets that, upon initial recognition, were	instruments.
trading in accordance with IAS 39;	designated by the entity as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss (ie those that are not	
(b) held-to-maturity investments;	financial assets classified as held for trading); and	
(c) loans and receivables;	(iv) financial liabilities that, upon initial recognition, were	
(d) available-for-sale financial assets;	designated by the entity as financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss (ie those that are not	
(e) financial liabilities as fair value through profit or loss, showing separately (i) those designated as such upon in initial recognition and (ii) those classified as held for trading in accordance with IAS 39; and	financial liabilities classified as held for trading).	
(f) financial liabilities measured at amortised cost.		

 $^{^{2}\,}$ Revised IAS 32 disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	IAS 30.18 A bank shall present a balance sheet that groups assets and liabilities by nature and lists them in an order that reflects their relative liquidity. IAS 30.20 The most useful approach to the classification of the assets and liabilities of a bank is to group them by their nature and list them in the approximate order of their liquidity; this may equate broadly to their maturities. Current and non-current items are not presented separately because most assets and liabilities of a bank can be realised or settled in the near future.	IFRS 7 does not impose a presentation of categories of financial instruments in order of liquidity. However, IAS 1.51 specifies that a presentation based on liquidity shall be implemented when it provides information that is more reliable and relevant than the current and non-current classification.
Similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	IAS 30.19 In addition to the requirements of other Standards, the disclosures in the balance sheet or the notes shall include, but are not limited to, the following assets and liabilities. Assets Cash and balances with the central bank; Treasury bills and other bills eligible for rediscounting with the central bank; Government and other securities held for dealing purposes; Placements with, and loans and advances to, other banks; Other money market placements; Loans and advances to customers; and Investment securities. Liabilities Deposits from other banks; Other money market deposits; Amounts owed to other depositors; Certificates of deposits; Promissory notes and other liabilities evidenced by paper; and Other borrowed funds. IAS 30.21 The distinction between balances with other banks and those with other parts of the money market and from other depositors is relevant information because it gives an understanding of a bank's relations with, and dependence on, other banks and the money market. Hence, a bank discloses separately: (a) balances with the central bank; (b) placements with other banks; (c) other money market placements; (d) deposits from other banks; (e) other money market deposits; and (f) other deposits.	IFRS 7 does not impose the same specificity of categories of financial instruments as required by IAS 30.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	IAS 30.22 A bank generally does not know the holders of its certificates of deposit because they are usually traded on an open market. Hence, a bank discloses separately deposits that have been obtained through the issue of its own certificates of deposit or other negotiable paper.	IFRS 7 does not require separate disclosure of certificates of deposit issued as required by IAS 30.
Financial assets or financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss IFRS 7.9 If the entity has designated a loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) as at fair value through profit or loss, it shall disclose: (a) the maximum exposure to credit risk (see paragraph 36(a)) of the loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) at the reporting date. (b) the amount by which any related credit derivatives or similar instruments mitigate that maximum exposure to credit risk. (c) the amount of change, during the period and cumulatively, in the fair value of the loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) that is attributable to changes in the credit risk of the financial asset determined either: (i) as the amount of change in its fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk; or (ii) using an alternative method the entity believes more faithfully represents the amount of change in its fair value that is attributable to changes in credit risk of the asset. Changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk include changes in an observed (benchmark) interest rate, commodity price, foreign exchange rate or index of prices or rates. (d) the amount of the change in the fair value of any related credit derivatives or similar instruments that has occurred during the period and cumulatively since the loan or receivable was designated.	If the entity has designated a loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) as at fair value through profit or loss, it shall disclose: (i) the maximum exposure to credit risk (see paragraph 76(a)) at the reporting date of the loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables), (ii) the amount by which any related credit derivative or similar instrument mitigates that maximum exposure to credit risk, (iii) the amount of change during the period and cumulatively, in the fair value of the loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) that is attributable to changes in credit risk determined either as the amount of change in its fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk; or using an alternative method that more faithfully represents the amount of change in its fair value that is attributable to changes in credit risk, (iv) the amount of the change in the fair value of any related credit derivative or similar instrument that has occurred during the period and cumulatively since the loan or receivable was designated.	Similar disclosure required. The IAS 39 amendment for the fair value option introduces disclosure for loans and receivables designated at fair value through profit or loss to IAS 32. IFRS 7 includes these consequential amendments.

 $^{2}\,$ Revised IAS 32 disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS 7.10 If the entity has designated a financial liability as at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph 9 of IAS 39, it shall disclose: (a) the amount of change, during the period and cumulatively, in the fair value of the financial liability that is attributable to changes in the credit risk of that liability determined either: (i) as the amount of change in its fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk (see Appendix B, paragraph B4); or (ii) using an alternative method the entity believes more faithfully represents the amount of change in its fair value that is attributable to changes in the credit risk of the liability. Changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk include changes in a benchmark interest rate, the price of another entity's financial instrument, a commodity price, a foreign exchange rate or an index of prices or rates. For contracts that include a unitlinking feature, changes in market conditions include changes in the performance of the related internal or external investment fund. (b) the difference between the financial liability's carrying amount and the amount the entity would be contractually required to pay at maturity to the holder of the obligation.	IAS 32.94(h) ² If the entity has designated a financial liability as at fair value through profit or loss, it shall disclose: (i) the amount of change during the period and cumulatively in the fair value of the financial liability that is attributable to changes in credit risk determined either as the amount of change in its fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk (see paragraph AG40); or using an alternative method that more faithfully represents the amount of change in its fair value that is attributable to changes in credit risk. (ii) the difference between the carrying amount of the financial liability and the amount the entity would be contractually required to pay at maturity to the holder of the obligation.	Similar disclosure required. The IAS 39 amendment for the fair value option introduces disclosures for financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss to IAS 32. IFRS 7 includes these consequential amendments.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ Revised IAS 32 disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Application guidance		
Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss		
IFRS 7 B4	IAS 32.AG40 ²	
If the entity designates a financial liability as at fair value through profit or loss, paragraph 10(a) requires it to disclose the amount of change in the fair value of the financial liability that is attributable to changes in the liability's credit risk. Paragraph 10(a)(i) permits an entity to determine this amount as the amount of change in the liability's fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk. If the only relevant changes in market conditions for a liability are changes in an observed (benchmark) interest rate, this amount can be estimated as follows: (a) First, the entity computes the liability's internal rate of return at the start of the period using the observed market price of the liability and the liability's contractual cash flows at the start of the period. It deducts from this rate of return the observed (benchmark) interest rate at the start of the period, to arrive at an instrument-specific component of the internal rate of return. (b) Next, the entity calculates the present value of the cash flows associated with the liability using the liability's contractual cash flows at the end of the period and a discount rate equal to the sum of (i) the observed (benchmark) interest rate at the end of the period and (ii) the instrument-specific component of the internal rate of return as determined in (a). (c) The difference between the observed market price of the liability at the end of the period and the amount determined in (b) is the change in fair value that is not attributable to changes in the observed (benchmark) interest rate. This is the amount to be disclosed. This example assumes that changes in fair value arising from factors other than changes in the instrument's credit risk or changes in interest rates are not significant. If the instrument in the example contains an embedded derivative, the change in fair value of the embedded derivative is excluded in determining the amount to be disclosed in accordance with paragraph 10(a).	If an entity designates a financial liability or a loan or receivable (or group of loans or receivables) as at fair value through profit or loss, it is required to disclose the amount of change in the fair value of the financial instrument that is attributable to changes in credit risk. Unless an alternative method more faithfully represents this amount, the entity is required to determine this amount as the amount of change in the fair value of the financial instrument that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk. Changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk include changes in a benchmark interest rate, commodity price, foreign exchange rate or index of prices or rates. For contracts that include a unit-linking feature, changes in market conditions include changes in the performance of an internal or external investment fund. If the only relevant changes in market conditions for a financial liability are changes in an observed (benchmark) interest rate, this amount can be estimated as follows: (a) First, the entity computes the liability's internal rate of return at the start of the period using the observed market price of the liability and the liability's contractual cash flows at the start of the period. It deducts from this rate of return the observed (benchmark) interest rate at the start of the period, to arrive at an instrument-specific component of the internal rate of return. (b) Next, the entity calculates the present value of the cash flows associated with the liability using the liability's contractual cash flows at the start of the period and a discount rate equal to the sum of the observed (benchmark) interest rate at the end of the period and the instrument-specific component of the internal rate of return at the start of the period as determined in (a). (c) The amount determined in (b) is then adjusted for any cash paid or received on the liability during the period and increased to reflect the increase in fair value that arises because	

 $^{^2\,}$ Revised IAS 32 disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS	7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	7.11	IAS 32.94(i)	
The e	entity shall disclose:	The entity shall disclose:	Similar disclosure required.
(a)	the methods used to comply with the requirements in paragraphs 9(c) and 10(a).	(i) the methods used to comply with the requirement in (g)(iii) and (h)(i).	
(b)	if the entity believes that the disclosure it has given to comply with the requirements in paragraph 9(c) or 10(a) does not faithfully represent the change in the fair value of the financial asset or financial liability attributable to changes in its credit risk, the reasons for reaching this conclusion and the factors it believes are relevant.	(ii) if the entity considers that the disclosure it has given to comply with the requirements in (g)(iii) or (h)(i) does not faithfully represent the change in the fair value of the financial asset or financial liability attributable to changes in credit risk, the reasons for reaching this conclusion and the factors the entity believes to be relevant.	
Recla	assification		
IFRS	7.12	IAS 32.94(j) ³	
	e entity has reclassified a financial asset as one sured:	If the entity has reclassified a financial asset as one measured at cost or amortised cost rather than at fair value (see IAS 39,	IFRS 7 requires that an entity discloses the amount of
(a)	at cost or amortised cost, rather than at fair value, or	paragraph 54), it shall disclose the reason for that reclassification.	reclassification in both directions between fair value
(b)	at fair value, rather than at cost or amortised cost,		and cost or amortised cost.
each	all disclose the amount reclassified into and out of category and the reason for that reclassification paragraphs 51-54 of IAS 39).		
Dere	cognition		
IFRS	7.13	IAS 32.94(a)	
way t	ntity may have transferred financial assets in such a that part or all of the financial assets do not qualify erecognition (see paragraphs 15-37 of IAS 39). The y shall disclose for each class of such financial assets: the nature of the assets; the nature of the risks and rewards of ownership to which the entity remains exposed;	An entity may have either transferred a financial asset (see paragraph 18 of IAS 39) or entered into the type of arrangement described in paragraph 19 of IAS 39 in such a way that the arrangement does not qualify as a transfer of a financial asset. If the entity either continues to recognise all of the asset or continues to recognise the asset to the extent of the entity's continuing involvement (see IAS 39, paragraphs 29 and 30) it shall disclose for each class of financial asset:	Similar disclosure required.
(c)	when the entity continues to recognise all of the	(i) the nature of the assets;	
	assets, the carrying amounts of the assets and of the associated liabilities; and	(ii) the nature of the risks and rewards of ownership to which the entity remains exposed;	
(d)	when the entity continues to recognise the assets to the extent of its continuing involvement, the total carrying amount of the original assets, the amount of the assets that the entity continues to recognise,	(iii) when the entity continues to recognise all of the asset, the carrying amounts of the asset and of the associated liability; and	
	and the carrying amount of the associated liabilities.	(iv) when the entity continues to recognise the asset to the extent of its continuing involvement, the total amount of the asset, the amount of the asset that the entity continues to recognise and the carrying amount of the associated liability.	

16

 $^{^{3}\,}$ IAS 32 paragraph reference renumbered based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS	57	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Colla	teral		
IFRS	7.14	IAS 32.94(b)	
An e	the carrying amount of financial assets it has pledged as collateral for liabilities or contingent liabilities, including amounts that have been reclassified in accordance with paragraph 37(a) of IAS 39; and	An entity shall disclose the carrying amount of financial assets pledged as collateral for liabilities, the carrying amount of financial assets pledged as collateral for contingent liabilities, and (consistently with paragraphs 60(a) and 63(g)) any material terms and conditions relating to assets pledged as collateral.	Similar disclosure required.
(b)	the terms and conditions relating to its pledge.		
IFRS	7.15	IAS 32.94(c)	
finan colla	n an entity holds collateral (of financial or non- icial assets) and is permitted to sell or repledge the teral in the absence of default by the owner of the teral, it shall disclose: the fair value of the collateral held; the fair value of any such collateral sold or	When an entity has accepted collateral that it is permitted to sell or repledge in the absence of default by the owner of the collateral, it shall disclose: (i) the fair value of the collateral accepted (financial and non-financial assets); (ii) the fair value of any such collateral sold or repledged and	Similar disclosure required.
(0)	repledged, and whether the entity has an obligation to return it; and	whether the entity has an obligation to return it; and (iii) any material terms and conditions associated with its use	
(c)	the terms and conditions associated with its use of the collateral.	of this collateral (consistently with paragraphs 60(a) and 63(g)).	
Allov	vance account for credit losses		
IFRS	7.16	IAS 30.43 (b)(c)	
the e (eg a impa colle redu a red	In financial assets are impaired by credit losses and entity records the impairment in a separate account in allowance account used to record individual irments or a similar account used to record a ctive impairment of assets) rather than directly cing the carrying amount of the asset, it shall disclose conciliation of changes in that account during the old for each class of financial assets.	A bank shall disclose the following: (b) details of the movements in any allowance for impairment losses on loans and advances during the period. It shall disclose separately the amount recognised as an expense in the period for impairment losses on uncollectible loans and advances, the amount charged in the period for loans and advances written off and the amount credited in the period for loans and advances previously written off that have been recovered.	IFRS 7 requires disclosure about information for any allowance for credit losses for all financial assets, and not only for loans as required by IAS 30. The wording of IFRS 7 has been updated to include collective impairment.
		(c) the aggregate amount of any allowance account for impairment losses on loans and advances at the balance sheet date.	Additionally, IFRS 7 does not prescribe the specific components of the reconciliation of the
		IAS 30.50 Any amounts set aside for general banking risks, including future losses and other unforeseeable risks or contingencies shall be separately disclosed as appropriations of retained earnings. Any credits resulting from the reduction of such amounts result in an increase in retained earnings and shall not be included in the determination of profit or loss for the period.	allowance for credit losses to be disclosed as required by IAS 30, nor require separate disclosure of appropriations of retained earnings set aside for general banking risks.

IFRS	7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
	pound financial instruments with multiple embedded atives		
IFRS	7.17	IAS 32.94(d)	
If an entity has issued an instrument that contains both a liability and an equity component (see paragraph 28 of IAS 32) and the instrument has multiple embedded derivatives whose values are interdependent (such as a callable convertible debt instrument), it shall disclose the existence of those features.		If an entity has issued an instrument that contains both a liability and an equity component (see paragraph 28) and the instrument has multiple embedded derivative features whose values are interdependent (such as a callable convertible debt instrument), it shall disclose the existence of those features and the effective interest rate on the liability component (excluding any embedded derivatives that are accounted for separately).	Similar disclosure required, although IFRS 7 does not require the disclosure of the effective interest rate on the liability component.
Defa	ults and breaches		
IFRS	7.18	IAS 32.94(m) ³	
	pans payable recognised at the reporting date, an y shall disclose: details of any defaults during the period of principal, interest, sinking fund, or redemption terms of those loans payable; the carrying amount of the loans payable in default at the reporting date; and whether the default was remedied, or the terms of the loans payable were renegotiated, before the financial statements were authorised for issue.	With respect to any defaults of principal, interest, sinking fund or redemption provisions during the period on loans payable recognised as at the balance sheet date, and any other breaches during the period of loan agreements when those breaches can permit the lender to demand repayment (except for breaches that are remedied, or in response to which the terms of the loan are renegotiated, on or before the balance sheet date), an entity shall disclose: (i) details of those breaches; (ii) the amount recognised as at the balance sheet date in respect of the loans payable on which the breaches occurred; and (iii) with respect to amounts disclosed under (ii), whether the default has been remedied or the terms of the loans payable renegotiated before the date the financial statements were authorised for issue.	Similar disclosure required,
IFRS 7.19 If, during the period, there were breaches of loan agreement terms other than those described in paragraph 18, an entity shall disclose the same information as required by paragraph 18 if those breaches permitted the lender to demand accelerated repayment (unless the breaches were remedied, or the terms of the loan were renegotiated, on or before the reporting date).		IAS 32.95 For the purpose of disclosing information on breaches of loan agreements in accordance with paragraph 94(m), loans payable include issued debt instruments and financial liabilities other than short-term trade payables on normal credit terms. When such a breach occurred during the period, and the breach has not been remedied or the terms of the loan payable have not been renegotiated by the balance sheet date, the effect of the breach on the classification of the liability as current or non-current is determined under IAS 1.	Similar disclosure required,

 $^{^{3}\,}$ IAS 32 paragraph reference renumbered based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS	7		IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Inco	ne st	tatement and equity		
Item	s of i	ncome, expense, gains or losses		
IFRS	7.20		IAS 32.94(f) ²	
An entity shall disclose the following items of income, expense, gains or losses either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes:		gains or losses either on the face of the financial	An entity shall disclose separately net gains or net losses on financial assets or financial liabilities designated by the entity as at fair value through profit or loss.	The fair value option amendment introduced a consequential amendment to
(a)	net	gains or net losses on:	IAS 32.94(k) ³	IAS 32 requiring net gains or net losses on financial
	(i)	financial assets or financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss, showing separately those on financial assets or financial liabilities designated as such upon initial recognition, and those on financial assets or financial liabilities that are classified as held for trading in accordance with IAS 39;	An entity shall disclose material items of income, expense and gains and losses resulting from financial assets and financial liabilities, whether included in profit or loss or as a separate component of equity. For this purpose, the disclosure shall include at least the following items: (i) total interest income and total interest expense (calculated using the effective interest method) for	assets or financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss to be disclosed. This requirement complements the disclosure required in IFRS 7 for carrying amounts in the balance sheet of
	(ii)	available-for-sale financial assets, showing separately the amount of gain or loss recognised directly in equity during the period and the amount removed from equity and recognised in profit or loss for the period;	financial assets and financial liabilities that are not at fair value through profit or loss; (ii) for available-for-sale financial assets, the amount of any gain or loss recognised directly in equity during the period and the amount that was removed from equity and	financial assets and financial liabilities. Additionally, IFRS 7 introduces separate disclosure of net gains or
	(iii)	held-to-maturity investments;	recognised in profit or loss for the period; and	net losses for each category
	(iv)	loans and receivables; and	(iii) the amount of interest income accrued on impaired	of financial instrument, fee
	(v)	financial liabilities measured at amortised cost;	financial assets, in accordance with IAS 39, paragraph	income and expense, and impairment losses for each category of financial instrument.
(b)	(ca fina	al interest income and total interest expense ilculated using the effective interest method) for ancial assets or financial liabilities that are not fair value through profit or loss;	IAS 30.9 A bank shall present an income statement which groups	
(c)	inc	e income and expense (other than amounts cluded in determining the effective interest rate) sing from:	income and expenses by nature and discloses the amounts of the principal types of income and expenses. $ \label{eq:lambda} \text{IAS } 32.94 \text{(I)}^3 $	
	(i)	financial assets or financial liabilities that are not at fair value through profit or loss; and	An entity shall disclose the nature and amount of any impairment loss recognised in profit or loss for a financial asset, separately for each significant class of financial asset	
	(ii)	trust and other fiduciary activities that result in the holding or investing of assets on behalf of individuals, trusts, retirement benefit plans, and other institutions;	(paragraph 55 provides guidance for determining classes of financial assets).	
(d)		rest income on impaired financial assets rued in accordance with paragraph AG93 of IAS and		
(e)		amount of any impairment loss for each class of ncial asset.		

 $^{^{2}\ \}mbox{Revised IAS 32}$ disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

 $^{^{3}}$ IAS 32 paragraph reference renumbered based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
	IAS 30.10	
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	In addition to the requirements of other Standards, the disclosures in the income statement or the notes shall include, but are not limited to, the following items of income and expenses:	IFRS 7 requirements are less specific than these required by IAS 30.
	Interest and similar income; Interest expense and similar charges; Dividend income; Fee and commission income; Fee and commission expense; Gains less losses arising from dealing securities; Gains less losses arising from investment securities; Gains less losses arising from dealing in foreign currencies; Other operating income; Impairment losses on loans and advances; General administrative expenses; and Other operating expenses. IAS 30.15 Gains and losses arising from each of the following are normally reported on a net basis: (a) disposals and changes in the carrying amount of dealing securities; (b) disposals of investment securities; and (c) dealings in foreign currencies. IAS 30.16 Interest income and interest expense are disclosed separately in order to give a better understanding of the composition of, and reasons for changes in, net interest.	
Other disclosures		
Accounting policies IFRS 7.21	IAS 32.60	
In accordance with paragraph 108 of IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements, an entity discloses, in the summary of significant accounting policies, the measurement basis (or bases) used in preparing the financial statements and the other accounting policies used that are relevant to an understanding of the financial statements.	For each class of financial asset, financial liability and equity instrument, an entity shall disclose: (b) the accounting policies and methods adopted, including the criteria for recognition and the basis of measurement applied.	IFRS 7 is more specific as to the disclosure of accounting policies and introduces disclosure of the criteria for the use of an allowance account and when a financial asset is reported as available-for-sale. IRFS 7 does not specifically require the disclosure of the accounting policies and
		methods applied for recognition and derecognition. Although IFRS 7 B5 does require disclosure of the recognition policy for regular way purchases and sales.

IFR:	S 7		IAS 32	2 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Арр	licatio	on guidance			
		closure – accounting policies			
	7 B5		IAS 32.	.66 ²	
Para basi and an u	Paragraph 21 requires disclosure of the measurement basis (or bases) used in preparing the financial statements and the other accounting policies used that are relevant to an understanding of the financial statements. For financial instruments, such disclosure may include:		In accordance with IAS 1, an entity provides disclosure of all significant accounting policies, including the general principles adopted and the method of applying those principles to transactions, other events and conditions arising in the entity's business. In the case of financial instruments, such disclosure includes: (a) the criteria applied in determining when to recognise a		Items (b) and (c) in IAS 32.66 are implied by the more general disclosure requirement of IFRS 7.21. Item (a) in IAS 32.66 is not specially required by IFRS 7. IFRS 7 B5 (b) and most of (d)
	(i) (ii) (iii)	the nature of the financial assets or financial liabilities the entity has designated as at fair value through profit or loss; the criteria for so designating such financial assets or financial liabilities on initial recognition; and how the entity has satisfied the conditions in paragraph 9, 11A or 12 of IAS 39 for such designation. For instruments designated in accordance with paragraph (b)(i) of the definition of a financial asset or financial liability at fair value through profit or loss in IAS 39, that disclosure includes a narrative description of the circumstances underlying the measurement or recognition inconsistency that would otherwise arise. For instruments designated in accordance with paragraph (b)(ii) of the definition of a financial asset or financial liability at fair value through profit or loss in IAS 39, that disclosure includes a narrative description of how designation at fair value through profit or loss is consistent with the entity's documented risk management or investment strategy.	(b) (c) (d)	or financial liabilities on initial recognition.	are new disclosure requirements.
(b)		criteria for designating financial assets as ilable for sale.	(ii	investment strategy. ii) the nature of the financial assets or financial	
(c)	ass	other regular way purchases and sales of financial ets are accounted for at trade date or at tlement date (see paragraph 38 of IAS 39).		liabilities the entity has designated as at fair value through profit or loss.	
(d)	carr	en an allowance account is used to reduce the crying amount of financial assets impaired by dit losses: the criteria for determining when the carrying amount of impaired financial assets is reduced directly (or, in the case of a reversal of a writedown, increased directly) and when the allowance account is used; and the criteria for writing off amounts charged to the allowance account against the carrying amount of impaired financial assts (see paragraph 16).	entity s whethere are account of the second	t of the disclosure of an entity's accounting policies, an shall disclose, for each category of financial assets, er regular way purchases and sales of financial assets counted for at trade date or at settlement date (see paragraph 38).	

 $^{^{2}}$ Revised IAS 32 disclosures based on the IAS 39 amendment: The Fair Value Option.

IFRS 7		IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
(e) how net gains or net losses on each car financial instrument are determined (s 20(a)), for example, whether the net gas losses on items at fair value through princlude interest or dividend income. (f) the criteria the entity uses to determine objective evidence that an impairment occurred (see paragraph 20(e)). (g) when the terms of financial assets that otherwise be past due or impaired have renegotiated, the accounting policy for assets that are the subject of renegot (see paragraph 36(d)). Paragraph 113 of IAS 1 also requires entities in the summary of significant accounting policies, the judgements, apart from those invocestimations, that management has made in applying the entity's accounting policies and most significant effect on the amounts recofinancial statements.	ttegory of ee paragraph ins or net rofit or loss ee that there is loss has t would ee been financial fated terms st to disclose, icies or other slving the process of that have the gnised in the	IAS 30.8 Banks use differing methods for the recognition and measurement of items in their financial statements. While harmonisation of these methods is desirable, it is beyond the scope of this Standard. In order to comply with IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements and thereby enable users to understand the basis on which the financial statements of a bank are prepared, accounting policies dealing with the following items may need to be disclosed: (a) the recognition of the principal types of income (see paragraphs 10 and 11); (b) the valuation of investment and dealing securities (see paragraphs 24 and 25); (c) the distinction between those transactions and other events that result in the recognition of assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and those transactions and other events that only give rise to contingencies and commitments (see paragraphs 26-29); (d) the basis for the determination of impairment losses on loans and advances and for writing off uncollectible loans and advances (see paragraphs 43-49); and (e) the basis for the determination of charges for general banking risks and the accounting treatment of such charges (see paragraphs 50-52). Some of these topics are the subject of existing Standards	IFRS 7 B5 (e) is a new disclosure requirement, whereas (f) and (g) are more detailed than previous requirements.
		while others may be dealt with at a later date.	
Hedge accounting			
IFRS 7.22		IAS 32.58	
An entity shall disclose the following separatype of hedge described in IAS 39 (ie fair vacash flow hedges, and hedges of net investn foreign operations): (a) a description of each type of hedge;	lue hedges, nents in	An entity shall disclose the following separately for designated fair value hedges, cash flow hedges and hedges of a net investment in a foreign operation (as defined in IAS 39): (a) a description of the hedge;	Similar disclosure required.
 (a) a description of each type of hedge; (b) a description of the financial instrumer as hedging instruments and their fair v reporting date; and (c) the nature of the risks being hedged. 	nts designated alues at the	 (b) a description of the financial instruments designated as hedging instruments and their fair values at the balance sheet date; and (c) the nature of the risks being hedged. 	

IFRS	7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	7.23	IAS 32.58 (continued)	
(a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	ash flow hedges, an entity shall disclose: the periods when the cash flows are expected to occur and when they are expected to affect profit or loss; a description of any forecast transaction for which hedge accounting had previously been used, but which is no longer expected to occur; the amount that was recognised in equity during the period; the amount that was removed from equity and included in profit or loss for the period, showing the amount included in each line item in the income statement; and the amount that was removed from equity during the period and included in the initial cost or other carrying amount of a non-financial asset or non-financial liability whose acquisition or incurrence was a hedged highly probable forecast transaction.	 (d) for cash flow hedges, the periods in which the cash flows are expected to occur, when they are expected to enter into the determination of profit or loss, and a description of any forecast transaction for which hedge accounting had previously been used but which is no longer expected to occur. IAS 32.59 When a gain or loss on a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge has been recognised directly in equity, through the statement of changes in equity, an entity shall disclose: (a) the amount that was so recognised in equity during the period; (b) the amount that was removed from equity and included in profit or loss for the period; and (c) the amount that was removed from equity during the period and included in the initial measurement of the acquisition cost or other carrying amount of a non-financial asset or non-financial liability in a hedged highly probable forecast transaction. 	IFRS 7 expands the disclosure of the gain or loss on a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge relationship, to require the disclosure of the amount removed from equity and included in profit or loss by individual income statement caption.
	7.24 ntity shall disclose separately: in fair value hedges, gains or losses: (i) on the hedging instrument; and (ii) on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. the ineffectiveness recognised in profit or loss that arises from cash flow hedges; and the ineffectiveness recognised in profit of loss that arises from hedges of net investments in foreign operations.	No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 introduces disclosures for a fair value hedge relationship of the amount of the gain or loss on the hedging instrument and, the hedged item. Additionally, the amount of ineffectiveness recognised in profit or loss for both cash flow hedges and net investment hedges.
finan an ei asse		IAS 32.86 Except as set out in paragraph 90 and 91A, for each class of financial assets and financial liabilities, an entity shall disclose the fair value of that class of assets and liabilities in a way that permits it to be compared with the corresponding carrying amount in the balance sheet. (IAS 39 provides guidance for determining fair value).	Similar disclosure required.
asse them	7.26 sclosing fair values, an entity shall group financial ts and financial liabilities into classes, but shall offset only to the extent that their carrying amounts are et in the balance sheet.	IAS 32.89 In disclosing fair values, an entity groups financial assets and financial liabilities into classes and offsets them only to the extent that their related carrying amounts are offset in the balance sheet.	Similar disclosure required.

IFR:	57	IAS	32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	5 7.27	IAS	32.92	
An e	An entity shall disclose:		entity shall disclose:	Similar disclosure required.
(a)	the methods and, when a valuation technique is used, the assumptions applied in determining fair values of each class of financial assets or financial liabilities. For example, if applicable, an entity discloses information about the assumptions relating to prepayment rates, rates of estimated credit losses, and interest rates or discount rates.	(a) (b)	the methods and significant assumptions applied in determining fair values of financial assets and financial liabilities separately for significant classes of financial assets and financial liabilities. (Paragraph 55 provides guidance for determining classes of financial assets.) whether fair values of financial assets and financial liabilities are determined directly, in full or in part, by	
(b)	whether fair values are determined, in whole or in part, directly by reference to published price quotations in an active market or are estimated using a valuation technique (see paragraphs AG71-AG79 of IAS 39).	(c)	reference to published price quotations in an active market or are estimated using a valuation technique (see IAS 39, paragraphs AG71-AG79). whether its financial statements include financial	
(c)	whether the fair values recognised or disclosed in the financial statements are determined in whole or in part using a valuation technique based on assumptions that are not supported by prices from observable current market transactions in the same instrument (ie without modification or repackaging) and not based on available observable market data. For fair values that are recognised in the financial statements, if changing one or more of those assumptions to reasonably possible alternative assumptions would change fair value significantly, the entity shall state this fact and disclose the effect of those changes. For this purpose, significance shall be judged with respect to profit or	(d)	instruments measured at fair values that are determined in full or in part using a valuation technique based on assumptions that are not supported by observable market prices or rates. If changing any such assumption to a reasonably possible alternative would result in a significantly different fair value, the entity shall state this fact and disclose the effect on the fair value of a range of reasonably possible alternative assumptions. For this purpose, significance shall be judged with respect to profit or loss and total assets or total liabilities. the total amount of the change in fair value estimated using a valuation technique that was recognised in profit or loss during the period.	
(d)	loss, and total assets or total liabilities, or, when changes in fair value are recognised in equity, total equity. if (c) applies, the total amount of the change in fair value estimated using such a valuation technique that was recognised in profit or loss during the period.	met assu disc prep	losure of fair value information includes disclosure of the hod used in determining fair value and the significant amptions made in its application. For example, an entity loses information about the assumptions relating to payment rates, rates of estimated credit losses and interest iscount rates if they are significant.	

IFRS	57	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	7.28		
entity (see best trans given parag could recog that diffe	e market for a financial instrument is not active, an y establishes its fair value using a valuation technique paragraphs AG74-AG79 of IAS 39). Nevertheless, the evidence of fair value at initial recognition is the saction price (ie the fair value of the consideration or received), unless conditions described in graph AG76 of IAS 39 are met. It follows that there do be a difference between the fair value at initial gnition and the amount that would be determined at date using the valuation technique. If such a rence exists, an entity shall disclose, by class of initial instrument:	No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 introduces disclosure of 'day 1' profit not recognised in profit or loss, including a reconciliation of changes in the amount not recognised in profit or loss and the accounting policy for determining when recognised in profit or loss.
(a)	its accounting policy for recognising that difference in profit or loss to reflect a change in factors (including time) that market participants would consider in setting a price (see paragraph AG76A of IAS 39); and		
(b)	the aggregate difference yet to be recognised in profit or loss at the beginning and end of the period and a reconciliation of changes in the balance of this difference.		
IFRS	7.29	IAS 32.88	
Discl	osures of fair value are not required:	For financial instruments such as short-term trade receivables	Similar disclosure required.
(a)	when the carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of fair value, for example, for financial instruments such as short-term trade receivables and payables;	and payables, no disclosure of fair value is required when the carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of fair value. IAS 32.91 If investments in unquoted equity instruments or derivatives	
(b)	for an investment in equity instruments that do not have a quoted market price in an active market, or derivatives linked to such equity instruments, that is measured at cost in accordance with IAS 39 because its fair value cannot be measured reliably; or	linked to such equity instruments are measured at cost under IAS 39 because their fair values cannot be measured reliably, the information about fair value set out in paragraphs 86 and 92 is not required to be disclosed.	
(c)	for a contract containing a discretionary participation feature (as described in IFRS 4) if the fair value of that feature cannot be measured reliably.		

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS 7.30	IAS 32.90	
entity shall disclose information to help users of the financial statements make their own judgements about the extent of possible differences between the carrying amount of those financial assets or financial liabilities and their fair value, including: (a) the fact that fair value information has not been disclosed for these instruments because their fair value cannot be measured reliably; (b) a description of the financial instruments, their carrying amount, and an explanation of why fair value	If investments in unquoted equity instruments or derivatives linked to such equity instruments are measured at cost under IAS 39 because their fair value cannot be measured reliably, that fact shall be disclosed together with a description of the financial instruments, their carrying amount, an explanation of why fair value cannot be measured reliably and, if possible, the range of estimates within which fair value is highly likely to lie. Furthermore, if financial assets whose fair value previously could not be reliably measured are sold, that fact, the carrying amount of such financial assets at the time of sale and the amount of gain or loss recognised shall be disclosed. IAS 32.91 (continued)	IFRS 7 expands the disclosure of fair value information for financial instruments whose fair value cannot be reliably measured to include disclosure of how the entity intends to dispose of such financial instruments.
(d) information about whether and how the entity intends to dispose of the financial instruments; and (e) if financial instruments whose fair value previously could not be reliably measured are derecognised, that fact, their carrying amount at the time of derecognition, and the amount of gain or loss recognised.	Instead, information is provided to assist users of the financial statements in making their own judgements about the extent of possible differences between the carrying amount of such financial assets and financial liabilities and their fair value. In addition to an explanation of the principal characteristics of the financial instruments that are pertinent to their value and the reason for not disclosing fair values, information is provided about the market for the instruments. In some cases, the terms and conditions of the instruments disclosed in accordance with paragraph 60 may provide sufficient information. When it has a reasonable basis for doing so, management may indicate its opinion on the relationship between fair value and the carrying amount of financial assets and financial liabilities for which it is unable to determine fair value reliably. IAS 32.91A Some financial assets and financial liabilities contain a discretionary participation feature as described in IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts. If an entity cannot measure reliably the fair value of that feature, the entity shall disclose that fact together with a description of the contract, its carrying amount, an explanation of why fair value cannot be measured reliably and, if possible, the range of estimates within which fair value is highly likely to lie.	
	Trust activities	
	IAS 30.55	
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	Banks commonly act as trustees and in other fiduciary capacities that result in the holding or placing of assets on behalf of individuals, trusts, retirement benefit plans and other institutions. Provided the trustee or similar relationship is legally supported, these assets are not assets of the bank and, therefore, are not included in its balance sheet. If the bank is engaged in significant trust activities, disclosure of that fact and an indication of the extent of those activities is made in its financial statements because of the potential liability if it fails in its fiduciary duties. For this purpose, trust activities do not encompass safe custody functions.	IFRS 7 requires specific disclosure of fee income from trust activities and trust activities. Additionally, IAS 1 requires that principal activities are disclosed.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
	Related parties transactions	
	IAS 30.58	
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	When a bank has entered into transactions with related parties, it is appropriate to disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about the transactions and outstanding balances necessary for an understanding of the potential effects of the relationship on the financial statements of the bank. The disclosures are made in accordance with IAS 24 and include disclosures relating to a bank's policy for lending to related parties and, in respect of related party transactions, the amount included in:	IAS 30 disclosures are included in IAS 24 Related Party Disclosures.
	 (a) each of loans and advances, deposits and acceptances and promissory notes; disclosures may include the aggregate amounts outstanding at the beginning and end of the period, as well as advances, deposits, repayments and other changes during the period; (b) each of the principal types of income, interest expense 	
	(b) each of the principal types of income, interest expense and commissions paid;	
	(c) the amount of the expense recognised in the period for impairment losses on loans and advances and the amount of any allowance at the balance sheet date; and	
	(d) irrevocable commitments and contingencies and commitments arising from off balance sheet items.	
	Contingencies and commitments including off balance sheet items	
	IAS 30.26	
No similar disclosure requirement in IFRS 7.	A bank shall disclose the following contingent liabilities and commitments:	Contingent liabilities are subject to the disclosure
	(a) the nature and amount of commitments to extend credit that are irrevocable because they cannot be withdrawn at the discretion of the bank without the risk of incurring significant penalty or expense; and	requirements of IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.
	(b) the nature and amount of contingent liabilities and commitments arising from off balance sheet items including those relating to:	
	(i) direct credit substitutes including general guarantees of indebtedness, bank acceptance guarantees and standby letters of credit serving as financial guarantees for loans and securities;	
	 (ii) certain transaction-related contingent liabilities including performance bonds, bid bonds, warranties and standby letters of credit related to particular transactions; 	
	(iii) short-term self-liquidating trade-related contingent liabilities arising from the movement of goods, such as documentary credits where the underlying shipment is used as security; and	
	(iv) [Deleted]	
	(v) [Deleted] (vi) other commitments, note issuance facilities and revolving underwriting facilities.	

IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
	IFRS 7 expands qualitative risk disclosures and introduces quantitative risk disclosures.
No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 does not mandate the information on nature and extent of risk arising from financial instruments to be disclosed in the financial statements, provided its location in another statement is clearly indicated and available to the users of the financial statements. This makes sense since the IFRS 7 requirements are more specific than those contained in IAS 30 or IAS 32 (see below).
	,
IAS 32.56	
An entity shall describe its financial risk management objectives and policies, including its policy for hedging each main type of forecast transaction for which hedge accounting is used. IAS 32.57 In addition to providing specific information about particular balances and transactions related to financial instruments, an entity provides a discussion of the extent to which financial instruments are used, the associated risks and the business purposes served. A discussion of management's policies for controlling the risks associated with financial instruments includes policies on matters such as hedging of risk exposures, avoidance of undue concentrations of risk and requirements for collateral to mitigate credit risk. Such discussion provides a	IFRS 7 expands disclosure to include information on the process that an entity uses to manage and measure risk.
	IAS 32.56 An entity shall describe its financial risk management objectives and policies, including its policy for hedging each main type of forecast transaction for which hedge accounting is used. IAS 32.57 In addition to providing specific information about particular balances and transactions related to financial instruments, an entity provides a discussion of the extent to which financial instruments are used, the associated risks and the business purposes served. A discussion of management's policies for controlling the risk associated with financial instruments includes policies on matters such as hedging of risk exposures, avoidance of undue concentrations of risk and requirements

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Quantitative disclosures		
IFRS 7.34		
For each type of risk arising from financial instruments, an entity shall disclose:	No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 introduces quantitative risk disclosures, based on
(a) summary quantitative data about its exposure to that risk at the reporting date. This disclosure shall be based on the information provided internally to key management personnel of the entity (as defined in IAS 24 Related Party Disclosures), for example the entity's board of directors or chief executive officer.		information communicated to key management personnel.
(b) the disclosures required by paragraphs 36-42, to the extent not provided in (a), unless the risk is not material (see paragraphs 29-31 of IAS 1 for a discussion of materiality).		
(c) concentrations of risk if not apparent from (a) and (b).		
Application guidance		
Quantitative disclosures		
IFRS 7 B7		
Paragraph 34(a) requires disclosures of summary quantitative data about an entity's exposure to risks based on the information provided internally to key management personnel of the entity. When an entity uses several methods to manage a risk exposure, the entity shall disclose information using the method or methods that provide the most relevant and reliable information. IAS 8 Accounting policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors discusses relevance and reliability.		
IFRS 7 B8	IAS 30.40	
Paragraph 34(c) requires disclosures about concentrations of risk. Concentrations of risk arise from financial instruments that have similar characteristics and are affected similarly by changes in economic or other conditions. The identification of concentrations of risk requires judgement taking into account the circumstances of the entity. Disclosure of concentrations of risk shall include:	A bank shall disclose any significant concentrations of its assets, liabilities and off balance sheet items. Such disclosures shall be made in terms of geographical areas, customer or industry groups or other concentrations of risk. A bank shall also disclose the amount of significant net foreign currency exposures.	IFRS 7 introduces disclosure of a description of how management determines concentrations.
(a) a description of how management determines concentrations;		
 (b) a description of the shared characteristic that identifies each concentration (eg counterparty, geographical area, currency or market); and (c) the amount of the risk exposure associated with all financial instruments sharing that characteristic. 		
IFRS 7.35		
If the quantitative data disclosed as at the reporting date are unrepresentative of an entity's exposure to risk during the period, an entity shall provide further information that is representative.	No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 reinforces the responsibility of an entity to disclose relevant information for the reader of the financial statements.

IFRS 7 IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30 **Comments** Credit risk IFRS 7.36 IAS 32.76 An entity shall disclose by class of financial instrument: For each class of financial assets and other credit exposures, IFRS 7 introduces credit risk (a) the amount that best represents its maximum an entity shall disclose information about its exposure to credit disclosure of the benefit of exposure to credit risk at the reporting date without risk, including: collateral and other credit taking account of any collateral held or other credit enhancements, credit quality the amount that best represents its maximum credit risk (a) enhancements (eg netting agreements that do not of assets that are neither exposure at the balance sheet date, without taking qualify for offset in accordance with IAS 32); past due nor impaired and account of the fair value of any collateral, in the event of in respect of the amount disclosed in (a), a the carrying amounts of other parties failing to perform their obligations under description of collateral held as security and other financial assets whose terms financial instruments; and credit enhancements; have been renegotiated. (b) significant concentrations of credit risk. information about the credit quality of financial IFRS 7 requires disclosure of assets that are neither past due nor impaired; and IAS 32.80 the gross maximum credit the carrying amount of financial assets that would exposure before taking into A financial asset subject to a legally enforceable right of setotherwise be past due or impaired whose terms have off against a financial liability is not presented on the balance account netting agreements. been renegotiated. sheet net of the liability unless settlement is intended to take place on a net basis or simultaneously. Nevertheless, an entity **Application guidance** discloses the existence of the legal right of set-off when Maximum credit risk exposure providing information in accordance with paragraph 76. For **IFRS 7 B9** example, when an entity is due to receive the proceeds from Paragraph 36(a) requires disclosure of the amount that realisation of a financial asset before settlement of a financial best represents the entity's maximum exposure to credit liability of equal or greater amount against which the entity has risk. For a financial asset, this is typically the gross a legal right of setoff, the entity has the ability to exercise that carrying amount, net of: right of set-off to avoid incurring a loss in the event of a (a) any amounts offset in accordance with IAS 32; and default by the counterparty. However, if the entity responds, or any impairment losses recognised in accordance is likely to respond, to the default by extending the term of the with IAS 39. financial asset, an exposure to credit risk would exist if the revised terms are such that collection of the proceeds is **IFRS 7 B10** expected to be deferred beyond the date on which the liability Activities that give rise to credit risk and the associated is required to be settled. To inform users of financial maximum exposure to credit risk include, but are not limited to: statements of the extent to which exposure to credit risk at a (a) granting loans and receivables to customers and particular point in time has been reduced, the entity discloses placing deposits with other entities. In these cases, the existence and effect of the right of set-off when the the maximum exposure to credit risk is the carrying financial asset is expected to be collected in accordance with amount of the related financial assets. its terms. When the financial liability against which a right of set-off exists is due to be settled before the financial asset, entering into derivative contracts, eg foreign exchange contracts, interest rate swaps and credit the entity is exposed to credit risk on the full carrying amount derivatives. When the resulting asset is measured at of the asset if the counterparty defaults after the liability has fair value, the maximum exposure to credit risk at been settled. the reporting date will equal the carrying amount. granting financial guarantees. In this case, the maximum exposure to credit risk is the maximum amount the entity could have to pay if the guarantee is called on, which may be significantly greater than the amount recognised as a liability. making a loan commitment that is irrevocable over the life of the facility or is revocable only in response to a material adverse change. If the issuer cannot settle the loan commitment net in cash or another financial instrument, the maximum credit exposure is the full amount of the commitment. This is because it is uncertain whether the amount of any undrawn portion may be drawn upon in the future.

This may be significantly greater than the amount

recognised as a liability.

IFRS	57	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Fina	ncial assets that are either past due or impaired		
IFRS	7.37		
An e	ntity shall disclose by class of financial asset:	No similar disclosure requirement in IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 introduces disclosure
(a)	an analysis of the age of financial assets that are past due as at the reporting date but not impaired;		of information relating to the quality of financial assets that are either past due or
(b)	an analysis of financial assets that are individually determined to be impaired as at the reporting date, including the factors the entity considered in determining that they are impaired; and		impaired and disclosure of the fair value of collateral available to the entity as security and other credit
(c)	for the amounts disclosed in (a) and (b), a description of collateral held by the entity as security and other credit enhancements and, unless impracticable, an estimate of their fair value.		enhancements.
Арре	endix A		
Defi	ned terms		
coun	due - A financial asset is past due when a terparty has failed to make a payment when ractually due.		
Colla	teral and other credit enhancements obtained		
IFRS	7.38		
durir hold: (eg g	n an entity obtains financial or non-financial assets ig the period by taking possession of collateral it is as security or calling on other credit enhancements quarantees), and such assets meet the recognition ria in other Standards, an entity shall disclose:	No similar disclosure requirement for IAS 32 or IAS 30.	IFRS 7 introduces disclosure of information relating to collateral over which control has been taken.
(a)	the nature and carrying amount of the assets obtained; and		
(b)	when the assets are not readily convertible into cash, its policies for disposing of such assets or for using them in its operations.		

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Liquidity risk		
IFRS 7.39	IAS 30.30	
An entity shall disclose:	A bank shall disclose an analysis of assets and liabilities into	IFRS 7 eliminates the
(a) a maturity analysis for financial liabilities that shows the remaining contractual maturities; and	relevant maturity groupings based on the remaining period at the balance sheet date to the contractual maturity date.	disclosure of a liquidity analysis of maturity for
(b) a description of how it manages the liquidity risk	IAS 30.33	financial assets as required by IAS 30. IAS 32 requires
inherent in (a).	The maturity groupings applied to individual assets and liabilities differ between banks and in their appropriateness to	only a maturity/contractual
Application guidance	particular assets and liabilities. Examples of periods used	repricing analysis for interest rate risk.
Contractual maturity analysis	include the following:	
IFRS 7 B11	(a) up to 1 month;	IFRS 7 requires disclosure to include a description of how
In preparing the contractual maturity analysis for financial	(b) from 1 month to 3 months;	management manages
liabilities required by paragraph 39(a), an entity uses its judgement to determine an appropriate number of time	(c) from 3 months to 1 year;	liquidity risk.
bands. For example, an entity might determine that the	(d) from 1 year to 5 years; and	
following time bands are appropriate:	(e) from 5 years and over.	
(a) not later than one month;	Frequently the periods are combined, for example, in the case	
(b) later than one month and not later than three	of loans and advances, by grouping those under one year and those over one year. When repayment is spread over a period	
months; (c) later than three months and not later than one year;	of time, each installment is allocated to the period in which it	
and	is contractually agreed or expected to be paid or received.	
(d) later than one year and not later than five years.	IAS 30.35	
IFRS 7 B12	Maturities could be expressed in terms of:	
When a counterparty has a choice of when an amount is	(a) the remaining period to the repayment date;	
paid, the liability is included on the basis of the earliest	(b) the original period to the repayment date; or	
date on which the entity can be required to pay. For example, financial liabilities that an entity can be required	(c) the remaining period to the next date at which interest	
to repay on demand (eg demand deposits) are included in	rates may be changed. The analysis of assets and liabilities by their remaining periods	
the earliest time band.	to the repayment dates provides the best basis to evaluate the	
IFRS 7 B13	liquidity of a bank. A bank may also disclose repayment	
When an entity is committed to make amounts available in	maturities based on the original period to the repayment date in order to provide information about its funding and business	
instalments, each instalment is allocated to the earliest	strategy. In addition, a bank may disclose maturity groupings	
period in which the entity can be required to pay. For example, an undrawn loan commitment is included in the	based on the remaining period to the next date at which interest rates may be changed in order to demonstrate its	
time band containing the earliest date it can be drawn down.	exposure to interest rate risks. Management may also provide,	
	in its commentary on the financial statements, information	
	about interest rate exposure and about the way it manages and controls such exposures.	
	Controlo outil exposures.	

IFRS	7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS	7 B14		
	amounts disclosed in the maturity analysis are the ractual undiscounted cash flows, for example:		
(a)	gross finance lease obligations (before deducting finance charges);		
(b)	prices specified in forward agreements to purchase financial assets for cash;		
(c)	net amounts for pay-floating/receive-fixed interest rate swaps for which net cash flows are exchanged;		
(d)	contractual amounts to be exchanged in a derivative financial instrument (eg a currency swap) for which gross cash flows are exchanged; and		
(e)	gross loan commitments.		
inclu	undiscounted cash flows differ from the amount ded in the balance sheet because the balance sheet unt is based on discounted cash flows.		
IFRS	7 B15		
deriv non-o matu paraş distir instru cash instru	propriate, an entity shall disclose the analysis of ative financial instruments separately from that of derivative financial instruments in the contractual rity analysis for financial liabilities required by graph 39(a). For example, it would be appropriate to aguish cash flows from derivative financial uments and non-derivative financial instruments if the flows arising from the derivative financial uments are settled gross. This is because the gross outflow may be accompanied by a related inflow.		
IFRS	7 B16		
discle exist amou amou	the amount payable is not fixed, the amount osed is determined by reference to the conditions ing at the reporting date. For example, when the int payable varies with changes in an index, the int disclosed may be based on the level of the index e reporting date.		

IFRS		IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Mari	ret risk		
Sens	itivity analysis		
IFRS	7.40	IAS 32.75	
Unles	ss an entity complies with paragraph 41, it shall disclose:	In some circumstances, an entity may be able to provide useful	IFRS introduces market risk
(a)	a sensitivity analysis for each type of market risk to which the entity is exposed at the reporting date, showing how profit or loss and equity would have been affected by changes in the relevant risk variable that were reasonably possible at that date;	information about its exposure to interest rate risks by indicating the effect of a hypothetical change in market interest rates on the fair value of its financial instruments and future profit or loss and cash flows. Such information may be based on, for example, an assumed one percentage point (100	sensitivity analysis disclosures, by type of risk, including the effect of "reasonably possible changes in risk variables and the methods
(b)	the methods and assumptions used in preparing the sensitivity analysis; and	basis points) change in market interest rates occurring at the balance sheet date. The effects of a change in interest rates	and assumptions used in preparing the sensitivity
(c)	changes from the previous period in the methods and assumptions used, and the reasons for such changes.	include changes in interest income and expense relating to floating rate financial instruments and gains or losses resulting from changes in the fair value of fixed rate instruments. The reported interest rate sensitivity may be restricted to the	analysis". The interest repricing analysis required by IAS 32, or a comparable analysis, has been eliminated
IFRS	7.41	direct effects of an interest rate change on interest-bearing	
at-ris varia it to analy	entity prepares a sensitivity analysis, such as value- sk, that reflects interdependencies between risk bles (eg interest rates and exchange rates) and uses manage financial risks, it may use that sensitivity sis in place of the analysis specified in paragraph 40. entity shall also disclose:	financial instruments recognised at the balance sheet date because the indirect effects of a rate change on financial markets and individual entities cannot normally be predicted reliably. When disclosing interest rate sensitivity information, an entity indicates the basis on which it has prepared the information, including any significant assumptions.	IFRS 7 is clear that a "reasonably possible change" does not represent 'worst case'.
(a)	an explanation of the method used in preparing such a sensitivity analysis, and of the main parameters	IAS 32.67	
(b)	and assumptions underlying the data provided; and an explanation of the objective of the method used	For each class of financial assets and financial liabilities, an entity shall disclose information about its exposure to interest	
()	and of limitations that may result in the information	rate risk, including:	
	not fully reflecting the fair value of the assets and liabilities involved.	(a) contractual repricing or maturity dates, whichever dates are earlier; and	
Appl	ication guidance	(b) effective interest rates, when applicable.	
	ket risk – sensitivity analysis	IAS 32.74	
	7 B17	The nature of an entity's business and the extent of its activity	
type acco aggre withou abou	graph 40(a) requires a sensitivity analysis for each of market risk to which the entity is exposed. In rdance with paragraph B3, an entity decides how it egates information to display the overall picture out combining information with different characteristics at exposures to risks from significantly different omic environments. For example:	in financial instruments determine whether information about interest rate risk is presented in narrative form, in tables or by using a combination of the two. When an entity has a variety of financial instruments exposed to fair value or cash flow interest rate risk, it may adopt one or more of the following approaches to presenting information:	
(a)	an entity that trades financial instruments might disclose this information separately for financial instruments held for trading and those not held for trading.	(a) The carrying amounts of financial instruments exposed to interest rate risk may be presented in tabular form, grouped by those that are contracted to mature or be repriced in the following periods after the balance sheet date:	
(b)	an entity would not aggregate its exposure to market	(i) in one year or less;	
	risks from areas of hyperinflation with its exposure to the same market risks from areas of very low	(ii) in more than one year but not more than two years;	
	inflation.	(iii) in more than two years but not more than three years;	
	entity has exposure to only one type of market risk	(iv) in more than three years but not more than four years;	
	ly one economic environment, it would not show ggregated information.	(v) in more than four years but not more than five years; and	
		(vi) in more than five years.	

IFRS 7 IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30 Comments

IFRS 7 B18

Paragraph 40(a) requires the sensitivity analysis to show the effect on profit or loss and equity of reasonably possible changes in the relevant risk variable (eg prevailing market interest rates, currency rates, equity prices or commodity prices). For this purpose:

- (a) entities are not required to determine what the profit or loss for the period would have been if relevant risk variables had been different. Instead, entities disclose the effect on profit or loss and equity at the balance sheet date assuming that a reasonably possible change in the relevant risk variable had occurred at the balance sheet date and had been applied to the risk exposures in existence at that date. For example, if an entity has a floating rate liability at the end of the year, the entity would disclose the effect on profit or loss (ie interest expense) for the current year if interest rates had varied by reasonably possible amounts.
- (b) entities are not required to disclose the effect on profit or loss and equity for each change within a range of reasonably possible changes of the relevant risk variable. Disclosure of the effects of the changes at the limits of the reasonably possible range would be sufficient.

IFRS 7 B19

In determining what a reasonably possible change in the relevant risk variable is, an entity should consider:

- the economic environments in which it operates. A reasonably possible change should not include remote or 'worst case' scenarios or 'stress tests'. Moreover, if the rate of change in the underlying risk variable is stable, the entity need not alter the chosen reasonably possible change in the risk variable. For example, assume that interest rates are 5 per cent and an entity determines that a fluctuation in interest rates of ±50 basis points is reasonably possible. It would disclose the effect on profit or loss and equity if interest rates were to change to 4.5 per cent or 5.5 per cent. In the next period. interest rates have increased to 5.5 per cent. The entity continues to believe that interest rates may fluctuate by ±50 basis points (ie that the rate of change in interest rates is stable). The entity would disclose the effect on profit or loss and equity if interest rates were to change to 5 per cent or 6 per cent. The entity would not be required to revise its assessment that interest rates might reasonably fluctuate by ±50 basis points, unless there is evidence that interest rates have become significantly more volatile.
- (b) the time frame over which it is making the assessment. The sensitivity analysis shall show the effects of changes that are considered to be reasonably possible over the period until the entity will next present these disclosures, which is usually its next annual reporting period.

- (b) When the performance of an entity is significantly affected by the level of its exposure to interest rate risk or changes in that exposure, more detailed information is desirable. An entity such as a bank may disclose, for example, separate groupings of the carrying amounts of financial instruments contracted to mature or be repriced:
 - (i) in one month or less after the balance sheet date;
 - (ii) in more than one month but not more than three months after the balance sheet date; and
 - (iii) in more than three months but not more than twelve months after the balance sheet date.
- (c) Similarly, an entity may indicate its exposure to cash flow interest rate risk through a table indicating the aggregate carrying amount of groups of floating rate financial assets and financial liabilities maturing within various future time periods.
- (d) Interest rate information may be disclosed for individual financial instruments. Alternatively, weighted average rates or a range of rates may be presented for each class of financial instrument. An entity may group into separate classes instruments denominated in different currencies or having substantially different credit risks when those factors result in instruments having substantially different effective interest rates.

IAS 30.42

The disclosure of significant net foreign currency exposures is also a useful indication of the risk of losses arising from changes in exchange rates.

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
IFRS 7 B20		
Paragraph 41 permits an entity to use a sensitivity analysis		
that reflects interdependencies between risk variables, such as a value-at-risk methodology, if it uses this analysis		
to manage its exposure to financial risks. This applies even		
if such a methodology measures only the potential for loss		
and does not measure the potential for gain. Such an entity might comply with paragraph 41(a) by disclosing the		
type of value-at-risk model used (eg whether the model		
relies on Monte Carlo simulations), an explanation about		
how the model works and the main assumptions (eg the holding period and confidence level). Entities might also		
disclose the historical observation period and weightings		
applied to observations within that period, an explanation of how options are dealt with in the calculations, and		
which volatilities and correlations (or, alternatively, Monte		
Carlo probability distribution simulations) are used.		
IFRS 7 B21		
An entity shall provide sensitivity analyses for the whole of		
its business, but may provide different types of sensitivity		
analysis for different classes of financial instruments.		
Other market risk disclosures		
IFRS 7.42		
When the sensitivity analyses disclosed in accordance with		
paragraph 40 or 41 are unrepresentative of a risk inherent in a financial instrument (for example because the year-		
end exposure does not reflect the exposure during the		
year), the entity shall disclose that fact and the reason it		
believes the sensitivity analyses are unrepresentative.		
Application guidance		
Interest rate risk		
IFRS 7 B22		
Interest rate risk arises on interest-bearing financial instruments recognised in the balance sheet (eg loans and		
receivables and debt instruments issued) and on some		
financial instruments not recognised in the balance sheet (eg some loan commitments).		
Currency risk IFRS 7 B23		
Currency risk (or foreign exchange risk) arises on financial		
instruments that are denominated in a foreign currency, ie		
in a currency other than the functional currency in which		
they are measured. For the purpose of this IFRS, currency risk does not arise from financial instruments that are		
non-monetary items or from financial instruments		
denominated in the functional currency.		
IFRS 7 B24		
A sensitivity analysis is disclosed for each currency to		
which an entity has significant exposure.		

IFRS 7	IAS 32 (amended 2005) and IAS 30	Comments
Other price risk		
IFRS 7 B25		
Other price risk arises on financial instruments because of changes in, for example, commodity prices or equity prices. To comply with paragraph 40, an entity might disclose the effect of a decrease in a specified stock market index, commodity price, or other risk variable. For example, if an entity gives residual value guarantees that are financial instruments, the entity discloses an increase or decrease in the value of the assets to which the guarantee applies.		
IFRS 7 B26		
Two examples of financial instruments that give rise to equity price risk are a holding of equities in another entity, and an investment in a trust, which in turn holds investments in equity instruments. Other examples include forward contracts and options to buy or sell specified quantities of an equity instrument and swaps that are indexed to equity prices. The fair values of such financial instruments are affected by changes in the market price of the underlying equity instruments.		
IFRS 7 B27		
In accordance with paragraph 40(a), the sensitivity of profit or loss (that arises, for example, from instruments classified as at fair value through profit or loss and impairments of available-for-sale financial assets) is disclosed separately from the sensitivity of equity (that arises, for example, from instruments classified as available for sale).		
IFRS 7 B28		
Financial instruments that an entity classifies as equity instruments are not remeasured. Neither profit or loss nor equity will be affected by the equity price risk of those instruments. Accordingly, no sensitivity analysis is required.		

Tony Clifford	London	+44 (0)20 7951 2250
Melinda Evans	London	+44 (0)20 7980 0108
Neville Gray	London	+44 (0)20 7951 1261
Jane Hurworth	London	+44 (0)20 7951 4155
Steve Parkinson	London	+44 (0)20 7951 3976
Richard Solomon	London	+44 (0)20 7951 5308
Michiel van der Lof	Amsterdam	+31 20 546 6030
Joost Hendriks	Amsterdam	+31 20 546 6790
George de Meris	Amsterdam	+31 20 549 7240
Bernard Roeders	Amsterdam	+31 20 549 7451
Eric Tarleton	Bahrain	+973 1753 5455
Ashwani Siotia	Bahrain	+973 17 521 676
Roland Ruprecht	Bern	+41 58 286 61 87
Jean-Francois Hubin	Brussels	+32 2 774 92 66
Claus-Peter Wagner	Frankfurt	+49 69 15208 26512
Marja Tikka	Helsinki	+358 9 1727 7236
Päivi Virtanen	Helsinki	+358 9 1727 7533
Keith Pogson	Hong Kong	+852 2849 9227
Thierry Bertrand	Luxembourg	+352 42 22 33 8845
Bernard Lhoest	Luxembourg	+352 42 22 33 8315
José Carlos Hernández	Madrid	+34 91 572 72 28
Wilson Tan	Manila	+632 894 8127
Josephine Abarca	Manila	+632 894 8317
Gianpiero Tedesco	Milan	+39 02 7221 2451
Avet Mirakyan	Moscow	+7 095 938 6667
Dmitry Weinstein	Moscow	+7 095 938 6689.
Ken Marshall	New York	+1 212 773 2279
Bjarne Moller	Oslo	+47 2 400 2860
Bernard Heller	Paris	+33 1 46 93 73 68
Damien O'Neill	Paris	+33 1 46 93 66 40
Laure Guegan	Paris	+33 1 55 61 08 25
Michaela Kubyova	Prague	+420 225 335 608
Leo van der Tas	Rotterdam	+31 10 406 8114
Wilson Woo	Singapore	+65 6428 6750
Winston Ngan	Singapore	+65 6428 6918
Anna Peyron	Stockholm	+46 8 520 593 81
Steffen Kuhn	Stuttgart	+49 711 9881 14063
Lynn Kraus	Sydney	+61 2 9248 4244
Mark Seddon	Sydney	+61 2 9248 4351
Mike Modena	Tokyo	+81 3 3503 1791
Ambrogio Virgilio	Turin	+39 01 1516 1611
Piotr Gajek	Warsaw	+48 22 557 7488
Pawel Preuss	Warsaw	+48 22 557 7530
Andreas Loetscher	Zurich	+41 58 286 42 26
John Alton	Zurich	+41 58 286 42 69



This publication has been carefully prepared, but it necessarily contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only, and is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgement. Ernst & Young can accept no responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. On any specific matter, reference should be made to the appropriate adviser.

ERNST & YOUNG

www.ey.com/ifrs

© 2006 EYGM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

February 2006